1		
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO	
3	DEPARTMENT 7	HONORABLE HOWARD B. WIENER
4	<u> </u>	
5	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL,)
6	Plaintiff,) No: 164327
7	vs.)
8	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,)
9	Defendants.))
10		
11	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS	
12	BEFORE HONORABLE HOWARD B. WIENER, JUDGE	
13	Department 7	, Superior Court
14	Friday, January 27, 1978	
15		·
16	APPEARANCES:	
17	For the Plaintiff:	DONALD D. STARK
18	Chino Basin Municipal	A Professional Corporation Airport Plaza, Suite 201
19		2061 Business Center Drive Irvine, California 92715
20		BY: DONALD D. STARK and GUIDO R. SMITH
21		
22	For Western Municipal Water	BEST, BEST & KRIEGER
23	District:	Attorneys at Law 4200 Orange Street
24		P.O. BoX 1028 Riverside, California
25	ORIGINAL	92502 BY: ARTHUR L. LITTLEWORTH
26	(Appearances continued on next page.)	
		<u> </u>

74		
1		
2	APPEARANCES: (continued)	
3		
4	For Pomona Valley Municipal Water	RUTAN & TUCKER Attorneys at Law
5	District:	Bank of California Building 401 Civic Center Drive West
6		Santa Ana, California 92702 BY: H. RODGER HOWELL
7		
8	For Felspar Gardens	STANFORD C. SHAW,
9	Mutual Water Company, Santa Ana River Water	Attorney at Law 47038 Mojave Trail
10	Company, and Marygold Mutual	Newberry Springs, California 92365
11	Water Company:	
12		
. 13		
14	For the State of California:	EVELLE J. YOUNGER Attorney General
15		Department of Justice Tishman Building, Sixth Floor
16		3580 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90010
		BY: EDWIN J. DUBIEL, Deputy Attorney General
18		
19		
20		
21	·	
22		
23		
24		
25	Reported by:	VYKKI RUVALCAVA, C.S.R. 3335 C.P., R.P.R., C.M.
26		Official Court Reporter
	·	

1 SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, JANUARY 27, 1978 2 --000--3 THE BAILIFF: Please remain seated and 4 come to order. 5 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ladies and 6 Gentlemen. 7 In the matter of Chino Basin Municipal 8 Water District, Plaintiff, versus City of Chino, 9 et al., does the reporter have the appearances for 10 the record? 11 Mr. Stark, do you want to indicate your 12 appearance for the record. 13 MR. STARK: Donald Stark and Guido Smith, 14 attorneys for the Plaintiff. 15 MR. DUBIEL: Edwin Dubiel, Deputy Attorney 16 General for the State of California. 17 MR. LITTLEWORTH: Arthur Littleworth, 18 Best, Best & Krieger, for West Municipal Water District. 19 MR. HOWELL: Rodger Howell with Rutan & 20 Tucker, Pomona Valley Municipal Water District. 21 MR. SHAW: Stan Shaw, representing 22 Felspar Gardens Mutual Water Company and Santa Ana 23 River and Marygold Water. 24 THE COURT: Have all counsel had occasion 25 to review the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law

in this matter?

MR. STARK:

MD DIDTEL. Vog Hour Honor

MR. DUBIEL: Yes, your Honor.

MR. HOWELL: Yes.

MR. SHAW: Yes.

MR. LITTLEWORTH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All in satisfactory form?

Yes, your Honor.

MR. DUBIEL: Yes.

MR. LITTLEWORTH: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Shaw?

MR. SHAW: With respect to the mail form,
I think there have been some additions made.

MR. STARK: The primary changes, the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, your Honor, were mailed and the proof of mailing is on file. Subsequent to that mailing we had comments from counsel, primarily dealing with corrections, minor corrections in language to conform where they erroneously had not followed the Judgment language.

The major change in the Findings from the circulated Findings deals with the ground water storage provisions. The circulated draft was inadvertently taken from an earlier draft that had more detail than is contained in the Judgment, and so the Findings were amended to follow the language of the Judgment which is more abbreviated in its statement of

 priorities to use the ground water storage capacity.

There were no changes other than changes to conform the Findings' language to the Judgment language, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. STARK: And it was mailed to all counsel and any changes which were suggested were made in the draft.

THE COURT: Not hearing any comments to the contrary, I know all counsel in this case have been very diligent, I will sign the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as submitted to me, as well as the Judgment, and I comment, I know there are many dignitaries here and it has been a pleasure, as I expressed last time, to have the opportunity to work on a case of this sort where as a result of legislation introduced by Senator Ayala we have a Judgment which has a great and significant and positive effect upon many, many citizens of the community.

I know there are many dignitaries here and perhaps at the conclusion of this formal proceeding I will have the opportunity of meeting with you and any informal comments you would care to make, I would certainly be delighted to talk with you.

I would be remiss if I didn't express my

thanks also to Judge Busch who assigned me to this case for all purposes.

I do think, and I think I expressed probably at greater length at the last hearing, the wonderful cooperation between all branches of government on this matter, and I really think it is a significant event; and but for Judge Busch's graciousness in assigning me to this case, I wouldn't have the opportunity of signing this Judgment which I will do at this time.

I see a note here, would I waive the no-photo rule. Not being as experienced as others in this regard, I think it appropriate to adjourn this proceeding and defer to Judge Busch on that particular issue. He is the Presiding Judge and I let him make all the hard decisions on matters of that sort.

Mr. Shaw?

MR. SHAW: Would you pemit one question before we proceed?

THE COURT: Surely.

MR. SHAW: There are a substantial number of defaulting parties and it's been the understanding that defaulting parties will stand in the same position as if they had signed stipulations, and I would like counsel to comment on where, if at all,

2.

1 2

15.

that appears in the Judgment, what the situation is in that respect.

MR. STARK: The defaulting parties are listed in the several pools and their rights are determined -- for instance, Felspar, as the defaulting appropriators, are small mutual water companies and their rights are listed in the Exhibit in the same manner as stipulating appropriators.

The major defaulting parties, some fifty of them are in the agricultural pool, and they are listed in that pool.

In the Findings of Fact we have attached a computer run which lists the full 2500-odd parties who were named at one time or another and how they have been disposed of to come down to the current list of approximately 1200.

The Judgment does include the defaulted parties in the cases where they are in a category where their rights are declared, which is the appropriative pool. I don't believe there are any defaults in the overlying nonagricultural pool, but in the appropriative pool they are listed with their rights as calculated in the same context as the stipulating parties in that pool.

MR. SHAW: They stand in no different position than had they stipulated?

MR. STARK: They stand in no different position than had they stipulated. It was so represented at the time the defaults were taken and the Judgment is drawn on that premise.

MR. SHAW: May that be of record in this matter?

THE COURT: It certainly is. Yes, thank you, it will be of record.

At this time I would adjourn these proceedings on a formal basis, and on an informal basis perhaps I can impose upon Judge Busch to add whatever comments he might wish to add on an informal basis.

As to the photographs, I will defer that decision to Judge Busch, and perhaps after Judge Busch, Senator Ayala could say a few words.

I will step down in light of the fact that the formal proceedings are now adjourned.

(Whereupon proceedings were concluded at this time.)

* * *

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER STATE OF CALIFORNIA ss. COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO I, VYKKI RUVALCAVA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that I am the reporter appointed by the Court to take down the testimony and proceedings in the foregoing case, and that the foregoing 6 pages are a full, true, and correct transcript of the oral proceedings. Dated this 14th day of February, 1978. Registered Professional Reporter Certificate of Proficiency Certificate of Merit Official Court Reporter